	Case 1:05-cv-00042-AWI-GSA Doc	ument 30	Filed 06/28/05	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10	UNITED STA	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	JOHN RICHARD GIBSON,) C\	7 F 05 0042 AWI L	ЈО НС	
13	Petitioner,	,		NG PETITIONER'S	
14) JU	DICIAL NOTICE oc. #28]	ND AS A MOTION FOR	
15 16	V.)			
17	LEA ANN CHRONES, Warden,) Mo	RDER GRANTING OTION FOR JUDI oc. #28]		
18	Respondent.))	ος. π20]		
19		<i>)</i>			
20	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On June 16, 2005, Petitioner filed a motion to amend the petition to include the recent decision of the California Supreme Court in In re Dannenberg, 34 Cal.4th 1061 (2005). It appears Petitioner merely wishes to notify the Court of the decision and request that it be considered in the Court's review of the merits of the petition. In order to avoid the burdensome process of amending the petition, the Court CONSTRUES Petitioner's request as a motion for judicial notice. A court may take judicial notice of court records. See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman, 803 F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir.1986); United States v. Wilson, 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir.1980). While the				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

U.S. District Court
E. D. California

1

California Supreme Court's opinion is not binding precedent on this Court, it may be of persuasive value in the Court's determination of the merits of Petitioner's claims. Accordingly, the Court hereby TAKES judicial notice of the California Supreme Court's opinion in In re Dannenberg, 34 Cal.4th 1061 (2005). IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE **Dated:** June 27, 2005 b9ed48

U.S. District Court
E. D. California